

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION & APPROACH	16
1.1	Latest developments	16
1.1.1	Government deferment of introduction of the care cap	16
1.1.2	Budget announcement on the introduction of the Living Wage	16
1.1.3	Timing of completion of this study	16
1.1.4	Implications of latest developments	16
1.2	Purpose of project – the Brief	17
1.2.1	Context (introduction)	17
1.2.2	Background	18
1.2.3	Aims	19
	1.2.3.1 <i>LOT A core - Understanding supply of residential and nursing care for older people</i>	19
	1.2.3.2 <i>LOT B core – Understanding self-funders</i>	19
1.2.4	Report delivery requirements	19
1.3	Introduction	20
1.4	Key issues & challenges for county and unitary councils	21
1.5	Approach	21
1.5.1	Dynamic market models	23
1.5.2	Wealth & income model	23
1.6	Definitions	23
1.7	Layout of report	24
2.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	25
2.1	Underlying market sustainability	25
2.2	Care market equalisation under the Care Act	25
2.3	Financial implications	26
	2.3.1 Financial impact summary – council funding	27
2.4	Policy & funding response	28
2.5	Summary recommendations	29

3.	KEY CONCLUSIONS	30
3.1	Underlying Market Sustainability	30
3.2	Care Act implications	31
3.3	Financial impact – council underfunding	32
4.	OVERVIEW OF MODEL RESULTS	35
4.1	Introduction to the models	35
4.2	Model results – impact on demand and supply	37
4.2.1	The ‘base case’ (1)	37
4.2.2	The ‘base case plus’ (1a)	38
4.2.3	The ‘most likely’ scenario (2)	38
4.2.4	The ‘most likely with 100% council response’ (3)	39
4.2.5	The ‘market equalisation with 95% council response’ (4)	39
4.3	Financial impact of models on council costs	40
4.4	Greater financial impact of market equalisation & Care Act Section 18	44
5.	SUGGESTED FURTHER WORK & NEXT STEPS	48
5.1	Further work to substantiate and confirm conclusions	48
5.1.1	Care cost benchmarks	48
5.1.2	Level of self-funder fees for comparable facilities and support	49
5.1.3	Investment in homes solely, or substantially for self-funders	49
5.1.4	Sustainable costs	49
5.2	Providing a more complete basis for managing market risks and market planning	49
5.2.1	Cross-border placements	49
5.2.2	NHS Continuing Healthcare placements and costs	50
5.2.3	Integration of market risk assessment and market planning with home-based care	50
5.2.4	Market Oversight system	52
5.3	Suggestions for how the models might be further developed	52

6.	DETAILED FINDINGS	53
6.1	Introduction	53
6.1.1	A. Underlying Market Sustainability – outline	53
6.1.2	B. Care Act Implications – outline	53
6.2	A. Underlying Market Sustainability	54
6.2.1	A1 - Market size	54
	6.2.1.1 <i>Market overview – context</i>	55
	6.2.1.2 <i>Market supply analysis by council</i>	57
	6.2.1.3 <i>Summary of findings on market size</i>	58
6.2.2	A2 - Health of the market	59
	6.2.2.1 <i>Current state of the market- initial overview</i>	59
	6.2.2.2 <i>Financial health and profitability</i>	61
	6.2.2.3 <i>Profitability –introduction</i>	61
	6.2.2.4 <i>EBITDAR basis of profitability measurement</i>	62
	6.2.2.5 <i>Financing risks, gearing ratios</i>	62
	6.2.2.6 <i>Levels of EBIDAR(M) required and the cost of care</i>	62
	6.2.2.7 <i>Commentary on levels of profitability</i>	63
	6.2.2.8 <i>Drivers of profitability – mix of self-pay and council pay funding</i>	65
	6.2.2.9 <i>Drivers of profitability – Nursing homes and NHS funded residents</i>	66
	6.2.2.10 <i>Drivers of profitability – occupancy</i>	70
	6.2.2.11 <i>Drivers of profitability - Size of home (economies of scale)</i>	72
	6.2.2.12 <i>Drivers of profitability - staffing costs</i>	75
	6.2.2.13 <i>Sustainability risks and profitability measurement</i>	76
	6.2.2.14 <i>Care cost benchmarks</i>	76
	6.2.2.15 <i>Cost benchmarks compared with pricing</i>	78
	6.2.2.16 <i>Closure of homes</i>	79
	6.2.2.17 <i>Higher operating cost of smaller homes</i>	79
	6.2.2.18 <i>Stressed costs</i>	80
	6.2.2.19 <i>Alternative use finance cost adjustment</i>	80
	6.2.2.20 <i>Variability in risk of closure, based on differing mix of funding</i>	80
	6.2.2.21 <i>Building new homes - new build assumptions</i>	82
	6.2.2.22 <i>Polarisation</i>	83

	6.2.2.23 <i>Summary of findings</i>	85
6.2.3	A3 – Cross subsidy in the market	85
	6.2.3.1 <i>Combined cross-subsidy and mix of funding risk examples</i>	94
	6.2.3.2 <i>Market polarisation</i>	98
	6.2.3.3 <i>NHS fees and cross-subsidies</i>	99
6.2.4	Projections	99
	6.2.4.1 <i>Future Demand</i>	99
	6.2.4.2 <i>Improvements in health</i>	102
	6.2.4.3 <i>Policies to promote people staying in their own homes, or moving into Extra Care</i>	103
	6.2.4.4 <i>Moving people out of hospitals</i>	103
	6.2.4.5 <i>Conclusions on demand</i>	104
	6.2.4.6 <i>Emerging financial trends</i>	105
	6.2.4.7 <i>Financial projections and sustainability – model results</i>	106
	6.2.4.8 <i>Interpretation of results</i>	107
	6.2.4.8.1 <i>Nursing home profitability and places</i>	107
	6.2.4.8.2 <i>Nursing-residential mix</i>	108
	6.2.4.8.3 <i>Residential care</i>	108
	6.2.4.9 <i>Further analysis and testing</i>	109
	6.2.4.10 <i>Polarisation</i>	109
	6.2.4.11 <i>Caveats</i>	109
	6.2.4.12 <i>Base case</i>	109
	6.2.4.13 <i>Base case plus</i>	116
	6.2.4.14 <i>Council funding implications</i>	118
	6.2.4.15 <i>Under-funding in relation to the care cost benchmark</i>	118
6.3	B. Care Act implications	120
	6.3.1 Introduction	120
	6.3.2 The main Care Act financial provisions	121
	6.3.2.1 <i>The Care Cap</i>	121
	6.3.2.2 <i>The Wealth Threshold (Capital Limit)</i>	121
	6.3.2.3 <i>Top-ups</i>	122

6.3.2.4	<i>Deferred Payments</i>	122
6.3.3	Market equalisation - reduction in self-funder fees	122
6.3.4	Scope of analysis	123
6.3.4.1	<i>A4 - Market insight</i>	123
6.3.4.2	<i>Provider survey</i>	124
6.3.4.3	<i>Fees and costs</i>	124
6.3.4.4	<i>Understanding of the Care Act implications</i>	125
6.3.4.5	<i>Provider responses</i>	125
6.3.4.6	<i>IFA Survey</i>	126
6.3.4.7	<i>Relatives self-funder survey</i>	128
6.3.4.8	<i>Partnership and Mintel survey results</i>	129
6.3.5	Lot B	129
6.3.5.1	<i>B1 - Self-funders wealth analysis</i>	130
6.3.5.2	<i>B2 - Self-funder insight</i>	131
6.3.5.3	<i>B3 - Families and future self-funders insight</i>	136
6.3.6	Market equalisation - self-funder fee erosion	136
6.3.6.1	<i>Wider market responses to self-funder fee erosion - polarisation</i>	141
6.3.6.2	<i>Most likely self-funder fee erosion scenario</i>	141
6.3.6.3	<i>Market equalisation in theory and practice</i>	143
6.3.7	Increasing the assets threshold - use of the Wealth Model	145
6.3.7.1	<i>Use of total placements data by council</i>	145
6.3.7.2	<i>Summary of method, results and conclusions</i>	145
6.3.7.3	<i>The method</i>	146
6.3.7.4	<i>Drivers of financial impact in the Wealth and Income model</i>	147
6.3.7.5	<i>Results from the Wealth and Income model - threshold extension</i>	148
6.3.7.6	<i>Care Act Impact modelling scenarios</i>	151
6.3.7.6.1	<i>Base Case Scenario (age specific expressed demand per unit population for council funded care home placements falls by 0.5% per year from 2014)</i>	151
6.3.7.6.2	<i>Base Case Plus Scenario (age specific expressed demand per unit population for council funded care home placements falls by 1.5% per year from 2014)</i>	151

6.3.7.6.3 'Likely' Scenario (Base Case scenario AND erosion of average private (self-funder) fee rate half way to care cost benchmark, over 5 years)	151
6.3.7.6.4 Likely + Response Scenario (Likely scenario AND increase in council's average fee rate (usual cost) to care cost benchmark, over five years)	151
6.3.7.7 Conclusions from the Wealth and Income model - threshold extension	153
6.3.7.8 Conclusions from the Wealth and Income model – care cap	155
6.3.7.8.1 Impact of usual cost of care	156
6.3.7.8.2 Daily living allowance	157
6.3.7.8.3 Moving to standard costs	159
6.3.8 Market model projections - most likely scenario	160
6.3.8.1 Most likely scenario	160
6.3.8.2 Self-funder fee erosion	160
6.3.8.3 Threshold extension	160
6.3.8.4 Impact of Top-ups	161
6.3.8.5 Results	162
6.3.8.6 Increase in costs to councils	168
6.3.9 Conclusions on Care Act impact	170
6.3.9.1 Council responses to impact of Care Act	170
6.3.10 Modelling Results	171
6.3.11 Funding the shortfall	176
6.3.12 Overall Conclusions	179

APPENDICES

A1	DYNAMIC MODEL DESCRIPTION	181
A1.1	Outline description	181
	A1.1.1 Summary background and purpose	181
A1.2	Core principles of the model	181
A1.3	How the model works	182
A1.4	Working with the model	185
A1.5	The LA data spreadsheet	186
A2	MODEL ASSUMPTIONS, EVIDENCE AND DATA SOURCES	188
A2.1	Introduction	188
A2.2	Base case data – overview	188
A2.3	Care cost benchmarks	189
	A2.3.1 Care cost benchmark pro-forma	190
A2.4	Operating costs of ‘not for profit’ providers	192
A2.5	Operating cost variance	192
	A2.5.1 Location	193
	A2.5.1.1 Evidence from LaingBuisson surveys	193
	A2.5.1.2 Evidence from national provider management accounts 2011/12	193
A2.6	Conclusion – regional cost factors built into the dynamic model	196
	A2.6.1 Cost variation by size of home	196
	A2.6.1.2 Evidence from LaingBuisson surveys	197
	A2.6.3 Home closures	197
	A2.6.3.1 Cost adjustment considerations – overview	197
	A2.6.3.2 Fixed and variable costs	197
	A2.6.3.3 Stressed cost calculations	198
	A2.6.3.4 Variability in profitability of homes – distribution curves	199
	A2.6.3.4.1 Operating cost variation in distribution curves	199
	A2.6.3.5 Difference in fee levels	201
	A2.6.3.6 Harnessing distribution curves	203
	A2.6.3.7 Home closures – alternative use finance cost adjustment	205

A2.6.4	Investment in new homes and expansion of existing homes	207
A2.6.4.1	<i>New build assumptions</i>	207
A3	PROVIDER SURVEY	209
A3.1	12 counties Care Act research - provider views on risks, implications and possible responses to care act implications	209
A.4	INDEPENDENT FINANCIAL ADVISOR SURVEY	221
A4.1	IFA views on care planning and Care Act 2014 implications	221
A4.2	The Care Act and The Care Cap	233
A4.3	Example tariff	235
A4.4	IFA partner details	236
A.5	EXTRACTS FROM PARTNERSHIP CARE INDEX SURVEY 2014	237
A5.1	Third Care Index Report 2014/15	237
A.6	RESIDENTS AND RELATIVES SURVEY REPORT – JANUARY 2015	240
A6.1	Introduction	240
A6.2	Purpose	240
A6.3	Summary	240
A6.4	Detailed Results	242

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Financial impact comparisons across the study group, and across full CCN	27
Table 3.1	Simple average across all 12 counties, nursing and residential care	30
Table 3.2	Base case projections breakdown for 12 CCN study group	33
Table 3.3	Base case projections breakdown for full 37 CCN partners	33
Table 3.4	Costs including thresholds for 12 CCN study group	33
Table 3.5	Costs including thresholds for full 37 CCN partners	34
Table 4.1	Financial consequences of the upper asset threshold extension and market equalisation, combined with demography driven increases in demand. 12 Counties aggregate projections to 2025/26 by principal scenario (care home fees only)	41
Table 4.2	Breakdown of NET additional care home costs to councils, 12 counties and extrapolation to 37 CCN counties, five-year projection at 2016/17 prices ('most likely with 100% council response' scenario)	42
Table 4.3	Potential annual loss of profit risk for care homes, 12 counties aggregate and extrapolation to 37 CCN counties, 2016/17 estimates	47
Table 6.1	Simple average across all 12 counties, nursing and residential care	86
Table 6.2	Average fee analysis across 12 counties	90
Table 6.3	Shortfall in provision of cross-subsidy – 60-bed nursing home example (high risk county)	94
Table 6.4	Shortfall in provision of cross-subsidy – 40- bed residential home example (high risk county)	95
Table 6.5	Shortfall in provision of cross-subsidy – 60-bed nursing home example (low risk county)	96
Table 6.6	Shortfall in provision of cross-subsidy – 40-bed residential home example (low risk county)	98
Table 6.7	Occupancy levels in Residential and Nursing care homes under base case and base case-plus	112
Table 6.8	Projected closures and openings under base case scenario	114
Table 6.9	Projected closures and openings under base case and base case-plus scenario comparison	117
Table 6.10	Gross and net costs of LaingBuisson base case scenarios, 2016/17 - 2025/26	118
Table 6.11	Funding required for elderly care (residential and nursing care) across	

	12 CCN study group, under LaingBuisson base case scenario	119
Table 6.12	Funding required for elderly care (residential and nursing care) across full 37 CCN study group, under LaingBuisson base case scenario	119
Table 6.13	Impact of the upper asset threshold extension on demand for and cost of care home services for older people among the 12 counties under the base case scenario – year 1, 2016/17	149
Table 6.14	Medium term impact of the upper asset threshold extension on demand for and cost of care home services for older people among 12 counties under other scenarios – year five, 2021/22	153
Table 6.15	Usual costs compared to DLA amounts	158
Table 6.16	Residential care occupancy levels under base case and most likely scenario	164
Table 6.17	Residential and nursing care projected closures and openings under base case and most likely scenarios	167
Table 6.18	Costs to councils – base case projections and threshold extension with most likely scenario outcomes	168
Table 6.19	Costs to councils –most likely scenario with % of gross, plus % changes	169
Table 6.20	Residential and nursing care occupancy level projections – base case, most likely and likely-plus response	173
Table 6.21	Residential and nursing care projected closures and openings under base case, most likely and likely-plus scenarios	175
Table 6.22	Extra costs of care provision across 12 CCN study group, LIKELY + RESPONSE scenario, 2016/17 – 2025/26	176
Table 6.23	Total costs of response scenario – breakdown, 2016/17 – 2025/26	177
Table 6.24	Base case projections and most likely scenario costs, 2016/17/2020/21 and 2025/26	178
Table 6.25	Total cost of response and increase over base cost – with % net cf. base, 2016/17 2020/21 and 2025/26	178
Table 6.26	Revised funding requirements breakdown – 12 CCN study group	179
Table 6.27	Revised funding requirements breakdown – 37 CCN members	179
Table A2.1	Sample page from LaingBuisson’s Fair Price for Care Toolkit, 6 th edition	191
Table A2.2	Operating costs per resident per week	192
Table A6.1	Nursing and residential home fee charges, RRA survey results	248

LIST OF FIGURES & BOXES

Figure 1.1	LaingBuisson approach to CCN study	22
Figure 4.1a	Financial consequences of the Care Act, comparing Scenario 2 ('most likely' - no market equalisation) with Scenario 3 ('most likely' with 100% council response'), projected cost of council supported care home fees, (2014 at 2014 prices, from 2016/17 at constant 2016/17 prices), excludes Care Cap costs - 12 COUNCILS aggregate	43
Figure 4.1b	Financial consequences of the Care Act, comparing Scenario 2 ('most likely' - no market equalisation) with Scenario 3 ('most likely' with 100% council response'), projected cost of council supported care home fees, (2014 at 2014 prices, from 2016/17 at constant 2016/17 prices), excludes Care Cap costs - 37 COUNCILS aggregate	44
Figure 5.1	LaingBuisson model extension	51
Figure 6.1	Local authority gross current expenditure on social care services for older and physically disabled adults, England	54
Figure 6.2	Occupancy rates in independent sector care homes for older people	56
Figure 6.3	Volume of demand by funding source, 2014 - UK independent sector care homes for older / YPD	56
Figure 6.4	The impact of NHS-supported residents on the mix of funding can be more clearly seen on individual pie charts for residential and nursing care	57
Figure 6.5	New registrations and closures- care homes for older & physically disabled people	61
Figure 6.6	EBITDAR as % of revenue - older people's care home groups	64
Figure 6.7	Occupancy rates – independent sector care homes for older people	71
Figure 6.8	Nursing care old age & dementia total hourly paid staff hours, per resident, per week	72
Figure 6.9	Residential care old age & dementia total hourly paid staff hours, per resident, per week	73
Figure 6.10	Economies of scale – nursing home operating costs (LB estimates)	74
Figure 6.11	Economies of scale – residential care home operating costs	74
Figure 6.12	'Pure' local authority funding profiles across England (2010-2014) – nursing care	81
Figure 6.13	'Pure' local authority funding profiles across England (2010-2014) – residential care	82
Figure 6.14	Provider, Council and NHS CHC Fee Analysis	86
Figure 6.15	Results of 'like for like' comparisons between self-funder and council fee levels, based on provider fee submissions – Nursing care	87
Figure 6.16	Results of 'like for like' comparisons between self-funder and council fee levels, based on provider fee submissions – Residential care	88
Figure 6.17	Cross-subsidies within residential and nursing home elderly care	89

Figure 6.18	Council financials – Nursing care	91
Figure 6.19	Council financials – Residential care	91
Figure 6.20	Mix of funding – Nursing care	92
Figure 6.21	Mix of funding – Residential care	93
Figure 6.22	Demographic driven demand index, (expected number of residents if constant age specific demand is applied to population in other years, (England 2014 = 100), England 2005 – 2025	100
Figure 6.23	Projected demographics – longer term growth in numbers of older people	101
Figure 6.24	Demand for places in older peoples’ care homes, 1981-2014	104
Figure 6.25	Residential care places needed and available BASE CASE, 2005-2025	110
Figure 6.26	Nursing care places needed and available BASE CASE, 2005-2025	111
Figure 6.27	Residential care home EBITDARM% BASE CASE	112
Figure 6.28	Nursing care home EBITDARM% BASE CASE	113
Figure 6.29	Residential care places needed and available, across 12 CCN study group, BASE CASE PLUS, 2005-2025	115
Figure 6.30	Nursing care places needed and available, across 12 CCN study group, BASE CASE PLUS, 2005-2025	116
Figure 6.31	Illustrative property and financial wealth distribution	148
Figure 6.32	Net cost to the council of supporting residents, by resident income and assets	150
Figure 6.33	Residential care places needed and available, MOST LIKELY scenario, 2005-2025	163
Figure 6.34	Nursing care places needed and available, MOST LIKELY scenario, 2005-2025	163
Figure 6.35	Residential care home EBITDARM%, MOST LIKELY scenario, 2005-2025	165
Figure 6.36	Nursing care home EBITDARM%, MOST LIKELY scenario, 2005-2025	165
Figure 6.37	Residential care places needed and available, ‘LIKELY + RESPONSE’ scenario	172
Figure 6.38	Nursing care places needed and available, ‘LIKELY + RESPONSE’ scenario	172
Figure 6.39	Residential care home EBITDARM %, ‘LIKELY + RESPONSE’ scenario, 2005 – 2025	173
Figure 6.40	Nursing care home EBITDARM %, ‘LIKELY + RESPONSE’ scenario, 2005 – 2025	174
Figure A1.1	How rates of changes to the number of home places are captured in the model (replicated for each size and type of home)	183
Figure A1.2	The main sections of the model and their linkages	183
Figure A1.3	Working with the model	185
Figure A1.4	Layout of the LA Summary data worksheet	187

Figure A2.1	Layout of the Council Summary 'base data' worksheet (from the Purpose and Overview document)	188
Figure A2.2	Nursing homes for old age and dementia – hourly paid staff per resident, per week	194
Figure A2.3	Residential homes for old age and dementia – hourly paid staff per resident, per week	194
Figure A2.4	Nursing homes operating costs per resident per year, national provider portfolio 2011/12	195
Figure A2.5	Residential homes operating costs per resident per year, national provider portfolio 2011/12	195
Figure A2.6	Nursing care old age & dementia – total hourly paid staff hours per resident, per week	196
Figure A2.7	National provider – nursing homes for older people, operating costs per occupied bed	197
Figure A2.8a	Nursing home closures, variability of risk of closure, range of operating costs	200
Figure A2.8b	Residential home closures, variability of risk of closure, range of operating costs	200
Figure A2.9	EBITDAR as % of revenue – older peoples' care home groups	201
Figure A2.10a	Exposure of English nursing care homes for older people to 'Pure' local authority funding (from combined results of LaingBuisson surveys of care homes, 2010-2014)	202
Figure A2.10b	Exposure of English residential care homes for older people to 'Pure' local authority funding (from combined results of LaingBuisson surveys of care homes, 2010-2014)	203
Figure A2.11	Profitability distribution for a category of homes	204
Box 6.1	Sources of wealth and income data	146